UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD FOURTH REGION

DUBIN PAPER COMPANY

and

Case 04-CA-079713

WAREHOUSE EMPLOYEES LOCAL 169 a/w INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS

RESPONSE OF FRANK HOCKMAN AND FRANK DUBIN TO COUNSEL FOR THE ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL'S MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND NOTICE TO SHOW CAUSE

The above-captioned action (the "Action") was commenced by Warehouse Employees Union Local 169 ("Local 169") on April 26, 2012 by way of Complaint against Dubin Paper Company ("Company"). On June 29, 2012, an Amended Complaint was filed against the Company. On July 23, 2012, a Second Amended Complaint was filed against the Company and Frank Hockman and Frank Dubin ("Respondents"). On September 17, 2012, a Motion for Default Judgment was filed, and on September 18, 2012, a Notice to Show Cause was entered. Respondents Frank Hockman and Frank Dubin hereby file this response to the Motion for Default Judgment and Notice to Show Cause.

On June 15, 2012, an Involuntary Petition under Chapter 7 of Title 11 of the United States Code was filed against Dubin Paper Company in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, case number 12-15826. On July 17, 2012, an Order for Relief was entered, and on July 27, 2012, a Chapter 7 Trustee was appointed. A Notice of Bankruptcy Filing is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

As a result of the bankruptcy filing, the automatic stay provision of 11 U.S.C. § 362(a) is in effect. §362(a) provides:

- (a) Except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, a petition filed under section 301, 302, or 303 of this title . . . operates as a stay, applicable to all entities, of-
 - (1) the commencement or continuation, including the issuance or employment of process, of a judicial, administrative, or other action or proceeding against the debtor that was or could have been commenced before the commencement of the case under this title, or to recover a claim against the debtor that arose before the commencement of the case under this title[.]

A bankruptcy filed under Chapter 7, entitled Liquidation, contemplates an orderly, courtsupervised procedure by which a trustee takes over the assets of the debtor's estate, reduces them to cash, and makes distributions to creditors, subject to the debtor's right to retain certain exempt property and the rights of secured creditors. Upon the filing of the Chapter 7 petition against the Company, Respondents no longer retained any control of the assets of the Company's estate. As such, to proceed with this Action against the Company, the claim which is subject of the Complaint should be adjudicated through the bankruptcy process or with relief granted by the Bankruptcy Court.

With respect to the Action as it relates to Respondents, Respondents were not named parties until the Second Amended Complaint was filed. Notwithstanding, the Second Amended Complaint makes no specific allegations that speak to acts of Respondents individually that would render them liable. To the extent there are any such allegations, Respondents would be prepared to respond to those allegations upon receipt of a complaint setting forth same. In fact, this office has sent correspondence to Peter C. Verrochi, Dorothy L. Moore-Duncan and Brian J.

We complain the Reice setting forth the positions of the Company and Respondents in response to the Charge, the Ne complain 20.0 on in both on Dick. But lefter the instrumental the medical moderate was and the formal hyperian defendence, no and were the lequilet info mail in the medical war and the property of the standard with and the company and the property and the property

-mospetic allegations afind into as lexagents. hord inthe marky incomin cound, to less por

- govile its now - galer SID and gost-and of cox

Amended Charge, and the Second Amended Charge. The various correspondence are attached hereto collectively as Exhibit B. Respondents' position has remain unchanged.

WHEREFORE, Frank Hockman and Frank Dubin respectfully request that this Honorable Court deny the relief requested in the Motion for Default Judgment, and any other relief deemed just and proper.

Date: September 26, 2012

CIARDI CIARDI & ASTIN

Albert A. Ciardi, III, Esquire Holly E. Smith, Esquire One Commerce Square 2005 Market Street, Suite 1930 Philadelphia, PA 19103

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD FOURTH REGION

DUBIN PAPER COMPANY

and

Case 04-CA-079713

WAREHOUSE EMPLOYEES LOCAL 169 a/w INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF: RESPONSE OF FRANK HOCKMAN AND FRANK DUBIN TO COUNSEL FOR THE ACTING GENERAL COUNSEL'S MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND NOTICE TO SHOW CAUSE

I, Holly E. Smith, Esquire, state under oath that on September 26, 2012, I served the above-entitled document(s) by post-paid regular mail upon the following persons, addressed to them at the following addresses:

Henry R. Protas, Esquire
Counsel for the Acting General Counsel
National Labor Relations Board
Fourth Region
615 Chestnut St, FL 7
Philadelphia, PA 19106

Warehouse Employees Union Local 169 1363 W. Cheltenham Avenue 4c. Elkins Park, PA 19027

Michael Katz, Esquire 121 S. Broad Street North American Building, 13th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107

Holly E. Smith, Esquire

Counsel to Frank Dubin and Frank Hockman

United States Bankruptcy Court Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Notice of Involuntary Bankruptcy Case Filing

An involuntary bankruptcy case concerning the debtor(s) listed below was filed under Chapter 7 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, entered on 06/15/2012 at 2:32 PM and filed on 06/15/2012.

Dubin Paper Co.

1910 S. Columbus Blvd. Philadelphia, PA 19148 Tax ID / EIN: 23-0537400



The bankruptcy

The case was filed by the following petitioning creditor(s):

Georgia-Pacific LLC on behalf of its wholly-owned subsidiaries Georgia-Pacific Consumer Products LP, Dixie Consumer Products, LLC and G-P Receivables, Inc.

133 Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30303

trustee is:

WAXMAN Morris James LLP 500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1500 P.O. Box 2306 Wilmington, DE 19899-2306 (302) 888-5842

JEFFREY

R.

The Clorox Sales Company 3655 Brookside Pkwy

Suite 300 Alpharetta, GA 30022 **AEP Industries Inc.**

125 Phillips Avenue

S. Hackensack, NJ 07606

GARY F

SEITZ

Rawle &

Henderson

LLP

The Widener

Building

One South

Penn Square

17th Floor

Philadelphia,

PA 19107

215-575-

4200

The case was assigned case number 12-15826-sr to Judge Stephen Raslavich.

If you would like to view the bankruptcy petition and other documents filed by the petitioning creditor(s) and the debtor, they are available at our *Internet* home page http://ecf.paeb.uscourts.gov or at the Clerk's Office, 900 Market Street, Suite 400, Philadelphia, PA 19107.

You may be a creditor of the debtor. If so, you will receive an additional notice from the court setting forth important deadlines.

Timothy B McGrath Clerk, U.S. Bankruptcy Court

PACER Service Center							
Transaction Receipt							
09/25/2012 14:49:27							
PACER Login: cc4289 Client Code: 6236-001-hs							
Description: Notice of Filing Search Criteria: 12-15826-sr							
Billable Pages: 1 Cost: 0.10							

CIARDI CIARDI & ASTIN

JENNIFER C. MCENTEE, ESQUIRE ICRANSTON/ÉCIARDILAW COM One Commerce Square 2005 Market Street, Smie 1930 Philadelphia, Pa 19103 Phone 215-557-3550 Fax. 215-557-3551

May 23, 2012

Via Hand Delivery and Electronic Mail

Peter C. Verrochi, Esquire United States Government National Labor Relations Board Region 4 615 Chestnut St., Suite 710 Philadelphia, PA 19106-2820

Re: Dubin Paper Company

Case 04-CA-079713

Dear Mr. Verrochi:

Please accept this correspondence as Dubin Paper Company's ("Dubin") response to the Charge Against Employer (the "Charge") filed by the Warehouse Employees Union Local 169 (the "Union") on April 26, 2012 as well as a follow-up to our telephone conversation on May 9, 2012 and your emails detailing the facts underlying the Charge dated May 10, 2012 and May 11, 2012. Please note that it remains Dubin's position that the substance of the Charge is not a "mandatory subject of bargaining" issue at all but rather a business decision to close the doors of an insolvent company. Thus, this correspondence will address the financial issues strangling Dubin and provide context for its decision to close its doors.

First, Dubin disputes the Charge in its entirety as well as the merits of the Union's corresponding request for injunctive relief under Section 10(j) of the Act. While the Dubin closing may be seen as unfortunate and a sign of the ever-failing economy, it was, nonetheless, a business decision based upon the totality of the facts presented to owners, a complete lack of funding and was carried out efficiently upon the advice of counsel.

Specifically and to provide context for the Dubin business decision, Dubin was having significant and ongoing issues with its two (2) largest suppliers at the time it

Fax: (215) 557-3551

decided to close. For instance, Dart Container, Dubin's largest supplier, had the company on a "cash-in-advance" term. Under normal conditions, Dubin received approximately 8 trucks a week from Dart Container and maintained approximately 10-12 days worth of inventory from Dart Container. At the time of the Dubin closing, cash flow had deteriorated to the point that Dubin had only a 3 day supply of Dart Inventory and had zero funds with which to pay Dart Container for the release of previously ordered trucks full of supplies. Because Dart products and the Dart inventory represented approximately 25% of the Dubin Sales at the time of the closing, Dubin submits this scenario is representative of the unfortunate position Dubin found itself in immediately prior to closing its doors.

Further, Kimberly Clark, Dubin's second largest supplier representing around 20% of sales, was owed approximately \$1,000,000 at the time Dubin closed its doors. Although Kimberly Clark had Dubin on "credit hold" terms and was also receiving a weekly payment on the \$1,000,000 debt, it refused to release a delivery without immediate payment for the specific inventory. Again, Dubin did not have the funds to pay Kimberly Clark for release of trucks carrying inventory, Dubin's inventory thus diminished, and therefore completely fulfilling customers' orders was impossible. Similarly, Dubin had the same credit and supply issues with most of its suppliers.

Next, although Dubin's Lender permitted its account to go negative for several months, Dubin was in covenant default. In December, 2011, the Lender required Frank Dubin and Frank Hockman to take personal mortgages on their homes and loan the money to the company. \$750,000.00 was then infused into the company in December 2011. At that point in time, the Lender expected Dubin to maintain a positive balance moving forward. When Dubin still failed to maintain a positive balance, the Lender extended a \$250,000.00 temporary overdraft line of credit to Dubin. At this time and despite several cash infusions, Dubin could not maintain a positive balance, continuously overdrew its accounts and bounced checks with the Lender and could not work through its debilitating cash flow issues.

Although the forgoing expenses and lender issues were significant factors in the Dubin closing, a perfect storm occurred on and around the week of Good Friday with the imminent strike by Local 107 and the salesmen's intent to abandon because of lack of inventory.

Attorneys for Dubin have spoken to representatives of the Lender along with both local Unions on many occasions about the state of the company and its cash flow issues as well as the significant losses suffered and high aging receivables with little hope of

collection. Upon information and belief, both local Unions are now well aware of the Lender's default of Dubin. Upon information and belief, both local Unions are now aware that the Lender required Frank Dubin and Frank Hockman to assist it in the orderly liquidation of Dubin Assets. Finally, neither Frank Hockman nor Frank Dubin are currently employed in the industry except as liquidators of the Dubin Assets.

As Dubin is no longer operating, does not have funds with which to pay its debts and does not have a hope of reopening, the Union's request for Injunctive relief appears slightly misguided and inappropriate. There is no operation to enjoin pursuant to Section 10(j) of the Act.

Finally, Frank Hockman is available to appear for an interview on May 29, 2012 between 10:00AM and 12:00PM or on May 30, 2012 between 2:00PM and 5:00PM. Also, enclosed please find a completed copy of the Questionnaire on Commerce Information related to the above-referenced matter.

Kindest regards,

Jennifer C. McEntee

JCM/dt

Enclosure

cc: Albert A. Ciardi, III, Esquire (via hand delivery)
Richard Wainstein, Esquire (w/enclosure, via hand delivery)
Michael Katz, Esquire (w/enclosure, via hand delivery)
Frank Hockman (via email fhockman@dubinpaper.com)

Revised 3/21/2011	NATIONAL LABOR RELA	ATIONS BOARD	
QU	ESTIONNAIRE ON COMMER	RCE INFORMATION	
Please read carefully, answer all applicable iter	ms, and return to the NLRB Office. If addit	ional space is required, please add a pag	
CASE NAME			CASE NUMBER 04-CA-079713
Dubin Paper Company ASSEXACTEEGABTITES OF ENTITY (We filled with State and/or stated in leas	Macuments forming and two sections	104-CA-079713
		erana and a survey of the surv	rentsineralimentestellindespesialnaphilisis
	Patthern Pattern Patte	entrante dos constituisticos en acesto de en establicación en el serio	Constructions and service and
[] CORPORATION [] LLC [] L	(<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		R (Specify)
32 IF A CORPORATION () LLC () L			
A STATE OF INCORPORATION OR FORMATION	B. NAME, ADDRESS, AND RELATI	ONSHIP (e.g. parent, subsidiary) OF A	LL RELATED ENTITIES
4 PHEANTLE OF ANY TYPE OF PART	NERSHIP FULL NAME AND ADDR	ESS OF ALE MEMBERS OF PART	NERS
55 IF A SOLE PROPRIETORSHIP FUL	LENAME AND ADDRESS OF PROPE	UETOR WALLSTON	DEMONSTRATION OF THE
62 BRIEFEY DESCRIBETHE NATURE	OF YOUR OPERATIONS (Products)	handled or manufactured or nature of s	ervices performed):
7 ASPRINCIPAL LOCATION:	B. BRANCH L	OCATIONS:	
TENUMBER OF PEOPLE PRESENTLY	ZEMBROVEDAS SOCIOS SOCIOS SOCIO	ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	
A. Total:	B. At the address involved in this		emental eta Mesta en eta eta espera eta del Persona (New Stransch
29 STURINGSTHE MOST RECENT (Clie			ILYR/FY lates 12.
			\\YES\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
A. Did you provide services valued in	excess of \$50,000 directly to custom	ers outside your State? If no, indica	ate actual value.
B. If you answered no to 9A, did you p	rovide services valued in excess of	\$50,000 to customers in your State	who purchased goods
	rectly outside your State? If no, indi		
C. If you answered no to 9A and 9B, did newspapers, health care institutions, less than \$50,000, indicate amount.	broadcasting stations, commercial b		
D. Did you sell goods valued in excess amount. \$		ated outside your State? If less than	\$50,000, indicate
E. If you answered no to 9D, did you s	ell goods valued in excess of \$50,000 cess of \$50,000 from directly outside		
F. Did you purchase and receive good	da valued in excess of \$50,000 from	directly outside your State? If less	than \$50,000 indicate
amount. \$			
G. Did you purchase and receive good outside your State? If less than \$5		enterprises who received the goods	directly from points
H. Gross Revenues from all sales or	performance of services (Check the l. 00,000 [] \$1,000,000 or more If les		
	the last 12 months? If yes, specify		
10 VARE YOU A MEMBER OF AN ASSO			ECTIVE BARGAINING?
[] YES [] NO (If yes, name and			
TITEREPRESENTATIVE BEST QUALIF			
NAME	TITLE	E-MAIL ADDRESS	TEL. NUMBER
 	 	 - 	IONNAID PASSASSIPPLIATE PASSAS
NAME AND TITLE (Type or Print)	SIGNATURE	E-MAIL ADDRESS	DATE
<u></u>	<u> </u>		

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

Solicitation of the information on this-form is authorized by the National Labor Relations Act (NLRA), 29 U.S.C. § 151 et seq. The principal use of the information is to assist the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) in processing representation and/or unfair labor practice proceedings and related proceedings or litigation. The routine uses for the information are fully set forth in the Federal Register, 71 Fed. Reg. 74942-43 (Dec. 13, 2006). The NLRB will further explain these uses upon request. Disclosure of this information to the NLRB is voluntary. However, failure to supply the information may cause the NLRB to refuse to process any further a representation or unfair labor practice case, or may cause the NLRB to issue you a subpoena and seek enforcement of the subpoena in federal court.

COMMERCE QUESTIONNAIRE RESPONSES

1.	Dubin Paper Company
2.	Corporation
3.	PA / Hygeia Paper Company
4.	
5.	
6.	Prior to April, 2012, bought and sold paper products
7.	1910 S. Columbus Blvd., Philadelphia, PA 19148
8.	(A) 0 (B) 0
9.	Calendar
	a. no
	b. \$0
	c. \$0
	d. Yes
	e
	f
	g. unknown
	h. \$1,000,000 or more
	i. no
10.	No
11.	Frank Hockman thockman@dubinpaper.com 215-669-0358
12	Jennifer E. Cranston, Esquire jcranston@ciardilaw.com 215-557-3550

12. Frantier E. Cranston, Esquire - Jeranston@ciardilaw.com 215-557-3550

CIARDI CIARDI & ASTIN

JUNNIFER C. MCENTFE, ESQUIRE JUNNIFER CONGCUARDILAW, COM

One Commerce Square 2005 Market Street, Suite 1930 Philadelphia, Pa 19103 Phone: 215-557-3550 Fax: 215-557-3551

July 16, 2012

VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL

Peter C. Verrochi, Esquire United States Government National Labor Relations Board Region 4 615 Chestnut St., Suite 710 Philadelphia, PA 19106-2820

> Re: Dubin Paper Company Case 04-CA-079713

Dear Mr. Verrochi:

Please accept this correspondence as Dubin Paper Company's ("Dubin") response to the Amended Charge Against Employer (the "Charge") filed by the Warehouse Employees Union Local 169 (the "Union") on June 29, 2012 as well as a follow-up to our telephone conversation on May 9, 2012 and your emails detailing the facts underlying the Charge dated May 10, 2012 and May 11, 2012. Please note that it remains Dubin's position that the substance of the Charge is not a "mandatory subject of bargaining" issue at all but rather a business decision to close the doors of an insolvent company. Thus, this correspondence will again address the financial issues strangling Dubin and provide context for its decision to close its doors.

First, Dubin disputes the Charge in its entirety as well as the merits of the Union's corresponding request for injunctive relief under Section 10(j) of the Act. While the Dubin closing may be seen as unfortunate and a sign of the ever-failing economy, it was, nonetheless, a business decision based upon the totality of the facts presented to owners, a complete lack of funding and was carried out efficiently upon the advice of counsel.

Specifically and to provide context for the Dubin business decision, Dubin was having significant and ongoing issues with its two (2) largest suppliers at the time it

decided to close. For instance, Dart Container, Dubin's largest supplier, had the company on a "cash-in-advance" term. Under normal conditions, Dubin received approximately 8 trucks a week from Dart Container and maintained approximately 10-12 days worth of inventory from Dart Container. At the time of the Dubin closing, cash flow had deteriorated to the point that Dubin had only a 3 day supply of Dart Inventory and had zero funds with which to pay Dart Container for the release of previously ordered trucks full of supplies. Because Dart products and the Dart inventory represented approximately 25% of the Dubin Sales at the time of the closing, Dubin submits this scenario is representative of the unfortunate position Dubin found itself in immediately prior to closing its doors.

Further, Kimberly Clark, Dubin's second largest supplier representing around 20% of sales, was owed approximately \$1,000,000 at the time Dubin closed its doors. Although Kimberly Clark had Dubin on "credit hold" terms and was also receiving a weekly payment on the \$1,000,000 debt, it refused to release a delivery without immediate payment for the specific inventory. Again, Dubin did not have the funds to pay Kimberly Clark for release of trucks carrying inventory, Dubin's inventory thus diminished, and therefore completely fulfilling customers' orders was impossible. Similarly, Dubin had the same credit and supply issues with most of its suppliers.

Next, although Dubin's Lender permitted its account to go negative for several months, Dubin was in covenant default. In December, 2011, the Lender required Frank Dubin and Frank Hockman to take personal mortgages on their homes and loan the money to the company. \$750,000.00 was then infused into the company in December 2011. At that point in time, the Lender expected Dubin to maintain a positive balance moving forward. When Dubin still failed to maintain a positive balance, the Lender extended a \$250,000.00 temporary overdraft line of credit to Dubin. At this time and despite several cash infusions, Dubin could not maintain a positive balance, continuously overdrew its accounts and bounced checks with the Lender and could not work through its debilitating cash flow issues.

Although the forgoing expenses and lender issues were significant factors in the Dubin closing, a perfect storm occurred on and around the week of Good Friday with the imminent strike by Local 107 and the salesmen's intent to abandon because of lack of inventory.

Attorneys for Dubin have spoken to representatives of the Lender along with both local Unions on many occasions about the state of the company and its cash flow issues as well as the significant losses suffered and high aging receivables with little hope of

collection. Upon information and belief, both local Unions are now well aware of the Lender's default of Dubin. Upon information and belief, both local Unions are now aware that the Lender required Frank Dubin and Frank Hockman to assist it in the orderly liquidation of Dubin Assets. Finally, neither Frank Hockman nor Frank Dubin are currently employed in the industry except as liquidators of the Dubin Assets.

As Dubin is no longer operating, does not have funds with which to pay its debts and does not have a hope of reopening, the Union's request for Injunctive relief appears slightly misguided and inappropriate. There is no operation to enjoin pursuant to Section 10(j) of the Act.

Kindest regards,

Albert A. Ciardi, III

AACIII/amg Enclosure

cc: Richard Wainstein, Esquire (w/enclosure, via hand delivery)
Michael Katz, Esquire (w/enclosure, via hand delivery)
Frank Hockman (via email thockman@dubinpaper.com)

Alex Giuliano

From:

trackingupdates@fedex.com

Sent:

Tuesday, July 17, 2012 9:28 AM

To:

Alex Giuliano

Subject:

FedEx Shipment 793795465666 Delivered

This tracking update has been requested by:

Company Name:

Ciardi Ciardi & Astin, P.C.

Name:

Albert A. Ciardı, III, Esquire

E-mail:

agiuliano@ciardilaw.com

Our records indicate that the following shipment has been delivered:

Reference:

6286-001

Ship (P/U) date:

Jul 16, 2012

Delivery date:

Jul 17, 2012 9:23 AM

Sign for by:

J. JACKSON

Delivery location:

PHILADELPHIA, PA

Delivered to:

Receptionist/Front Desk

Service type:

FedEx Standard Overnight

Packaging type:

FedEx Envelope

Number of pieces:

Weight:

0.50 lb.

Special handling/Services:

Deliver Weekday

Tracking number:

793795465666

Shipper Information

Albert A. Ciardi, III, Esquire

Ciardi Ciardi & Astin, P.C.

2005 Market Street

One Commerce Square, Suite 1930

Philadelphia

PΑ

Recipient Information

Peter C. Verrochi, Esquire

United States Government

615 Chestnut St., Ste 710

Nt'l Labor Relations Board Region

PHILADELPHIA

US

PA IIS

19103

19106

Please do not respond to this message. This email was sent from an unattended mailbox. This report was generated at approximately 8:28 AM CDT on 07/17/2012.

To learn more about FedEx Express, please visit our website at fedex.com.

All weights are estimated.

To track the latest status of your shipment, click on the tracking number above, or visit us at $\underline{\text{fedex.com}}$.

This tracking update has been sent to you by FedEx on the behalf of the Requestor noted above. FedEx does not validate the authenticity of the requestor and does not validate, guarantee or warrant the authenticity of the request, the requestor's message, or the accuracy of this tracking update. For tracking results and fedex.com's terms of use, go to fedex.com.

Thank you for your business.

Transmission Report

Date/Time Local ID 1 Local ID 2

07-16-2012 123456789

05:17:14 p.m.

Transmit Header Text Local Name 1 Local Name 2

Clardi

This document: Confirmed (reduced sample and details below) Document size: 8.5"x11"

CIARDI CIARDI & ASTIN

ALBERT A. CHARDL III. ESCHRE ACIABINAL IABDS AN COM

FACSIMILE

	Michae	l Katz, Teamste		Fax:	(215) 552-0071	
From:	Albert A. Ciardi, III, Esqui Dubín Paper Co		squire	Date.	July 16, 2012 6286-(0)1	
Re [.]				File No.		
CC:				Fax:		
<u></u> υυ	rgent	□ For Review	Please Comment	□ Pleusc	Reply D Please Recycle	
NOTE	<u> </u>		- 			
NUIE	a :					
···.	21 + 25	· · · · · ·	n, a dessa baratsabajad	1.0	(odga de Marviora - Johnson - Johnso	
	·				(one of the control o	
	21 · 1 · 16 · 16 · 16 · 16 · 16 · 16 · 1	4	* I			
		4	* I			

Total Pages Confirmed: 3

٦	Total Pa	ages Sca	innea:3	Total rages Commined		,			T	- N.
Γ	No.	Job	Remote Station	Start Time	Duration	Pages	Line	Mode	Job Type	Results
ŀ	001)	05:14:53 p.m. 07-16-2012	00:01:32	3/3	1	EC	HS	CP14400
- 1	001	234	#U/LL 1333LUU.							

Abbreviations:

HS: Host send HR: Host receive WS: Waiting send PL: Polled local

PR: Polled remote MS: Mailbox save

MP: Mallbox print CP: Completed

FA: Fail

TU: Terminated by user

TS: Terminated by system RP: Report

G3: Group 3 EC: Error Correct

CIARDI CIARDI & ASTIN

ALBERT A. CIARDI, III, ESQUIRE <u>ACIARDIŒCIARDILAW.COM</u> One Commerce Square 2005 Market Street, Sutte 1930 Philadelphia, Pa 19103 Phone, 215-557-3550 Fax 215-557-3551

FACSIMILE

To:	Micha	ael Katz, Teamste	rs Local 169	Fax:	(215) 552-0071		
From:	Alber	t A. Ciardi, III, Es	quire	Date:	July 16, 2012		
Re:	Dubir	Paper Co.		File No.	6286	5-001	
CC:				Fax:			
□ <i>U</i> ı	gent	□ For Review	□ Please Comment	□ Please	Reply	□ Please Recycle	
NOTE	S:						

The second of the State of the

CIARDI CIARDI & ASTIN

ALBERT A CIARDI, III, ESQUIRE ACIARDI(a-CIARDILAW.COM One Commerce Square 2005 Market Street, Suite 1930 Philadelphia, Pa 19103 Phone 215-557-3550 Fax. 215-557-3551

July 16, 2012

VIA FIRST CLASS REGULAR MAIL AND FACSIMILE

Attn: Brian J. Reice, President Warehouse Employees' Union Local 169 1363 W. Cheltenham Avenue Elkins Park, PA Philadelphia, PA 19154

Fax: 215.635.2441

RE: Dubin Paper

Dear Mr. Reice:

Please see below regarding the effects bargaining agreement between Local 169 and Dubin Paper:

- 1. This is an addendum to our existing contract and is meant to extend through the conclusion of the liquidation of the inventory of Dubin Paper.
- 2. \$25,000.00 to Local 169 employees for all, vacations, personal holidays, sick time. Payment shall be made to employees by the company, on a pro-rated basis to the extent necessary, upon execution of this agreement.
- 3. Local 169 employees shall be employed to perform all warehouse and other bargaining unit work performed at the facility during the liquidation of the inventory. Work is day to day, and days may be missed, liquidation may take a couple days or several weeks.
- 4. There is a 4-hour guarantee on days worked.
- 5. Days, hours and manpower to be determined on a daily basis. Employees shall be called in by the company based on seniority. If an employee on the seniority list does not respond, the next employee on the list will be called. If after exhausting the seniority list the requested amount of employees do not show, the company may then utilize

Brian J. Reice, President July 16, 2012 Page 2

employees from other sources for that day only. Local 169 employees who report to work shall be paid all wages, pension and Health and Welfare contributions as required under the collective bargaining agreement.

6. Whenever Dubin Paper determines that Dubin Paper can no longer provide a value by selling inventory, this liquidation work will cease.

Please confirm.

Very truly yours,

CIARDI-CIARDI & ASTIN

Albert A. Clardi, III

AACIII/mg

Agreed by:

Brian J. Reice, President

Warehouse Employees' Union Local 169

CIARDI CIARDI & ASTIN

ALBERT A. CIARDI, III, ESQUIRE ACIARDIGECIARDIEAW.COM

One Commerce Square 2005 Market Street, Suite 1930 Philadelphia, Pa 19103 Phone 215-557-3550 Fax 215-557-3551

July 26, 2012

Via Regular Mail

Dorothy L. Moore-Duncan (Regional Director) United States Government National Labor Relations Board Region 4 615 Chestnut St., Suite 710 Philadelphia, PA 19106-2820

> Re: Dubin Paper Company Case 04-CA-079713

Dear Ms. Moore-Duncan:

Please accept this correspondence as Dubin Paper Company's ("Dubin") response to the Second Amended Charge Against Employer (the "Charge") filed by the Warehouse Employees Union Local 169 (the "Union") on July 23, 2012. Please note that it remains Dubin's position that the substance of the Charge is not a "mandatory subject of bargaining" issue at all but rather a business decision to close the doors of an insolvent company. Thus, this correspondence will again address the financial issues strangling Dubin and provide context for its decision to close its doors.

First, Dubin was put into an Involuntary Chapter 7 Bankruptcy on June 15, 2012 (Case No. 12-15826) and therefore disputes the Charge in its entirety as well as the merits of the Union's corresponding request for injunctive relief under Section 10(j) of the Act. While the Dubin closing may be seen as unfortunate and a sign of the ever-failing economy, it was, nonetheless, a business decision based upon the totality of the facts presented to owners, a complete lack of funding and was carried out efficiently upon the advice of counsel.

Specifically and to provide context for the Dubin business decision, Dubin was having significant and ongoing issues with its two (2) largest suppliers at the time it

decided to close. For instance, Dart Container, Dubin's largest supplier, had the company on a "cash-in-advance" term. Under normal conditions, Dubin received approximately 8 trucks a week from Dart Container and maintained approximately 10-12 days worth of inventory from Dart Container. At the time of the Dubin closing, cash flow had deteriorated to the point that Dubin had only a 3 day supply of Dart Inventory and had zero funds with which to pay Dart Container for the release of previously ordered trucks full of supplies. Because Dart products and the Dart inventory represented approximately 25% of the Dubin Sales at the time of the closing, Dubin submits this scenario is representative of the unfortunate position Dubin found itself in immediately prior to closing its doors.

Further, Kimberly Clark, Dubin's second largest supplier representing around 20% of sales, was owed approximately \$1,000,000 at the time Dubin closed its doors. Although Kimberly Clark had Dubin on "credit hold" terms and was also receiving a weekly payment on the \$1,000,000 debt, it refused to release a delivery without immediate payment for the specific inventory. Again, Dubin did not have the funds to pay Kimberly Clark for release of trucks carrying inventory, Dubin's inventory thus diminished, and therefore completely fulfilling customers' orders was impossible. Similarly, Dubin had the same credit and supply issues with most of its suppliers.

Next, although Dubin's Lender permitted its account to go negative for several months, Dubin was in covenant default. In December, 2011, the Lender required Frank Dubin and Frank Hockman to take personal mortgages on their homes and loan the money to the company. \$750,000.00 was then infused into the company in December 2011. At that point in time, the Lender expected Dubin to maintain a positive balance moving forward. When Dubin still failed to maintain a positive balance, the Lender extended a \$250,000.00 temporary overdraft line of credit to Dubin. At this time and despite several cash infusions, Dubin could not maintain a positive balance, continuously overdrew its accounts and bounced checks with the Lender and could not work through its debilitating cash flow issues.

Although the forgoing expenses and lender issues were significant factors in the Dubin closing, a perfect storm occurred on and around the week of Good Friday with the imminent strike by Local 107 and the salesmen's intent to abandon because of lack of inventory.

Attorneys for Dubin have spoken to representatives of the Lender along with both local Unions on many occasions about the state of the company and its cash flow issues as well as the significant losses suffered and high aging receivables with little hope of

collection. Upon information and belief, both local Unions are now well aware of the Lender's default of Dubin. Upon information and belief, both local Unions are now aware that the Lender required Frank Dubin and Frank Hockman to assist it in the orderly

liquidation of Dubin Assets. Finally, neither Frank Hockman nor Frank Dubin are currently employed in the industry except as liquidators of the Dubin Assets.

As Dubin is no longer operating, does not have funds with which to pay its debts and does not have a hope of reopening, the Union's request for Injunctive relief appears slightly misguided and inappropriate. There is no operation to enjoin pursuant to Section 10(j) of the Act.

Kindest regards,

Albert A. Cigreli, III

AACIII/amg Enclosure

cc: Richard Wainstein, Esquire (w/enclosure)

Peter C. Verrochi, Esquire, (w/enclosure,)

Michael Katz, Esquire (w/enclosure)

Frank Hockman (w/o enclosure)



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

REGION 4 615 CHESTNUT ST STE 710 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-4413

July 24, 2012

Agency Website: www.nlrb.gov Telephone: (215)597-7601 Fax: (215)597-7658

FRANK HOCKMAN DUBIN PAPER COMPANY, FRANK HOCKMAN AND FRANK DUBIN, JOINT AND SEVERALLY 1910 S COLUMBUS BLVD PHILADELPHIA, PA 19148-2820

Re:

Dubin Paper Company Case 04-CA-079713

Dear Mr. HOCKMAN:

Enclosed is a copy of the second amended charge that has been filed in this case.

<u>Investigator</u>: This charge is being investigated by Field Attorney PETER C. VERROCHI whose telephone number is (215) 597-7640. If the agent is not available, you may contact Supervisory Attorney RICHARD WAINSTEIN whose telephone number is (215) 597-7636.

<u>Presentation of Your Evidence</u>: As you know, we seek prompt resolutions of labor disputes. Therefore, I urge you or your representative to submit a complete written account of the facts and a statement of your position with respect to the allegations in the second amended charge as soon as possible. If the Board agent later asks for more evidence, I strongly urge you or your representative to cooperate fully by promptly presenting all evidence relevant to the investigation. In this way, the case can be fully investigated more quickly.

<u>Procedures:</u> Your right to representation, the means of presenting evidence, and a description of our procedures, including how to submit documents, was described in the letter sent to you with the original charge in this matter. If you have any questions, please contact the Board agent.

Very truly yours,

DOROTHY L. MOORE-DUNCAN

Horstly Z. More-Duncan

Regional Director

Enclosure: Copy of second amended charge

JENNIFER C. MCENTEE, ESQ.

2005 MARKET ST

STE 1930

cc:

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7011

FORM EXEMPT UNDER 44 U.S.C 3512

INTERNET FORM NLRB-501 (2-08)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD CHARGE AGAINST EMPLOYER

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE					
Case	Date Filed				
04-CA-079713 7/23/12					

STANGE AGAINST EILI E	O I C.I.					
INSTRUCTIONS:		04-CA-079713	7/	(23/12		
lis an original with NLRB Regional Director for the region in which the alleged unfair labor practice occurred or is			curring.			
	R AGAINST WHOM CHA	RGE IS BROUGHT				
a. Name of Employer			b. Tel. No.	215-462-7900		
Dubin Paper Company, Frank Hockman and Fra	ank Dubin, joint and se	verally				
			c. Cell No.			
			f. Fax No.	215-463-0210		
d. Address (Street, city, state, and ZIP code)	ddress (Street, city, state, and ZIP code) O. S. Columbus Blvd. adelphia, PA 19148		g, e-Mail			
			g. e-ivian			
Time and the second sec						
			n. Number	of workers employed 11		
i. Type of Establishment (factory, mine, wholesaler, etc.) Warehouse	j. Identify principal pro Paper Products	duct or service				
k. The above-named employer has engaged in and is engagi	ing in unfair labor practices v	vithin the meaning of sect	lon 8(a), subs	ections (1) and (list		
subsections) 5		of the National Labo	r Relations Ac	t, and these unfair labor		
practices are practices affecting commerce within the mea	- '					
2. Basis of the Charge (set forth a clear and concise stateme	at of the feets sensitivities the	na allagad unfals labor ave	-tional	· · · · ·		
3. Full name of party filing charge (if labor organization, give	iuli name, including local na	me ena number)				
Warehouse Employees Union Local 169						
4a. Address (Street and number, city, state, and ZIP code)] '	ib. Tel. No.	215) 635-1696		
1363 W. Cheltenham Avenue Elkins Park, PA 19027	•	4	c. Cell No.			
CIMINA CARACTER CONTRACTOR		. 4	d. Fax No. (2	215) 635-2441		
		<u></u>	e. e-Mall	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Full name of national or International labor organization of organization) International Brotherhood of Teamste		stituent unit (to be filled in	when charge	is filed by a labor		
6. DECLARATIO	ON its are true to the best of my kr		el. No. 215-	546-4183		
By 110 00 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	chael N. Katz, Esquire		office, if any, C	eli No.		
(signature of representative or person making charge)	(Print/type name and tille or or	rice, if any)	ax No. 215-	790-1382		
•		07-23-12	Mail			
121 S. Broad Street, 13th Fl., Philadelphia,	PA 19106	01-20-12				

WILLFUL FALSE STATEMENTS ON THIS CHARGE CAN BE PUNISHED BY FINE AND IMPRISONMENT (U.S. CODE, TITLE 18, SECTION 1001)
PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

(date)

Solicitation of the information on this form is authorized by the National Labor Relations Act (NLRA), 29 U.S.C. § 151 et seq. The principal use of the information is to assist the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) in processing unfair labor practice and related proceedings or liligation. The routine uses for the information are fully set forth in the Federal Register, 71 Fed. Reg. 74942-43 (Dec. 13, 2006). The NLRB will further explain these uses upon request. Disclosure of this information to the NLRB is voluntary; however, fallure to supply the Information will cause the NLRB to decline to invoke its processes.

Basis of the Charge:

Charging Party is the recognized bargaining representative for Respondent's Warehouse Employees. Respondent has violated its obligations under Section (8)(a)(5) of the Act by engaging in the following:

- 1. Within the six month period prior to the filing of the Charge herein, Respondent laid off bargaining unit employees and utilized non-bargaining unit personnel to perform warehouse and shipping work normally performed by the bargaining unit. Respondent so acted unilaterally and without engaging in bargaining with the Charging Party concerning the decision to lay off employees and to have bargaining unit work performed by nonbargaining unit personnel. Respondent's actions constituted a unilateral change in terms and conditions of employment.
- 2. Within the six month period prior to the filing of the Charges herein, Respondents have unilaterally altered terms and conditions of employment by failing and refusing to pay required Health and Welfare and Pension Fringe Benefit Contributions. Respondents have so acted unilaterally without engaging in negotiations with the Charging Party.
- 3. Respondent has failed and refused to provide Charging Party with information requested in its letter of April 18, 2012 in which relevant information was sought for purposes of bargaining over the decision to lay off employees and the effects of such lay offs and the closure of the company's business. Respondents have further failed and refused to provide information relevant to Charging Party's investigation of Dubin Paper's failure to pay required Pension and Health and Welfare Contributions. Respondents have further failed and refused to provide information concerning the volume and value of its inventory requested by the Charging Party in its email of June 3, 2012.

Respondents Frank Dubin and Frank Hockman are the sole shareholders, directors, and officers of the Respondent Corporation and ran the day to day operations including making the determinations of which Respondent's financial obligations to meet. Respondents Dubin and Hockman at all times were responsible for the Company's labor relations policies and adherence to the Collective Bargaining Agreement and were responsible for formulating and implementing labor relations and employment decisions.